

## **“Big” Ethnography Peer Review**

- 1. Read through the paper for grammatical errors and places that are confusing or unclear. Note these occurrences and suggest how to correct them.**
- 2. Examine the introduction. Does it state the importance of the project, the thesis, and draw the reader in? Note what rhetorical appeals the introduction uses. Are there other ways to be more convincing?**
- 3. Find and restate the thesis.**
- 4. Examine the thesis for tension and clarity. How can the thesis be more clear and state more fully the importance of the ethnography?**
- 5. Outline the ethnography’s argument. If the argument is unclear, note why and offer suggestions on how you would structure it.**
- 6. What are the main supports for the writer’s argument? Do these supports all fit with the writer’s thesis?**
- 7. Are the writer’s evidences convincing, or do they need more outside information?**
- 8. Look at how the writer integrates outside sources. Are the transitions between his/her thoughts to another person’s clear? Note two clear transitions. If you can’t find two, suggest how they can make two transitions more clear.**
- 9. Given the criteria on our assignment sheet, how well does this writer do with bringing in outside sources? Give 3 examples of sources that fit the criteria and relate to the writer’s main points. Where do they lack sources? How would you suggest they correct this issue?**
- 10. Are all citations including the bibliography in APA format? If not, please tell the writer how to make the citations correct.**